

EJTN Project-Based Exchange – Scoring Rubric

Evaluator Instructions: Please evaluate the proposal against the following criteria. Each criterion is rated from 1 (Insufficient Evidence) to 5 (Exemplary). Each category is worth a maximum of 5 points. A minimum total score of 20 is required for the application to be considered for selection.

Criterion	5 - Exemplary	4 - Strong	3 - Adequate	1-2 - Limited/Insufficient
Statement of Need	Clear, compelling need aligned with transnational judicial challenges and project objectives.	Clear and relevant justification demonstrating alignment with participant and institutional needs.	General justification with partial relevance to judicial objectives.	Vague, weak or no justification; lacks relevance to judicial cooperation.
Objectives & Expected Outcomes	Well-defined, measurable goals aligned with European priorities and institutional and participants development.	Clear goals with plausible and relevant outcomes.	Broad goals with limited detail or unclear outcomes.	No clear objectives or outcomes stated.
Project Structure & Methodology	Detailed, coherent agenda with relevant activities (e.g., workshops, hearings, expert-led sessions).	Mostly clear plan and logical flow of activities.	Some structure provided but lacks coherence or detail.	No structured plan or methodology.

Dissemination & Impact Plan	Clear plan to disseminate results and institutionalise knowledge gained with long-term vision.	Plan to share outcomes with relevant stakeholders at institutional/national level.	Limited or weak dissemination plan.	No plan or unclear impact.
-----------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	----------------------------

Minimum total score for eligibility: 20 points.

Recommendation:

- ☐ Selected
- ☐ Reserve List
- ☐ Not Selected

Additional Comments/Notes:



Funded by
the European Union